FACT SHEET – Oregon and Washington CZARA Needs

QUESTION: When will EPA make final decisions on Oregon and Washington's Coastal Nonpoint Programs under the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZARA) program?

ANSWER:

 Both WA and OR have made significant progress towards completing the development of their coastal nonpoint programs. As described below there remains need for further action in a few areas for each state.

Non-Responsive

• On December 20, 2013, EPA and NOAA jointly announced in the Federal Register our intent to disapprove Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program. NOAA and EPA are operating under a 2010 settlement agreement obligation with Northwest Environmental Advocates to make a final decision on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program by May 15, 2014. To reverse the proposed disapproval, Oregon needs to adopt more protective forestry practices, controlsediment runoff from new development, and adopt better practices to ensure on-site disposal systems are maintained properly. EPA and NOAA are working with Oregon towards these improvements BACKGROUND

Non-Responsive

- EPA and NOAA decision on the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program is governed by the terms of the 2010 settlement agreement. EPA and NOAA are obliged to make a final decision by May 15, 2014, or seek to renegotiate this deadline with the plaintiff. If Oregon does not make the necessary improvements to its forestry practices and the new development and onsite disposal management measures, EPA and NOAA will be obliged to disapprove Oregon's program. Disapproval means that EPA and NOAA will be required to withhold grant dollars. The total amount of funds withheld by both agencies could range from \$900,000 to approximately \$1.2 million a year under current federal funding levels. EPA has devoted about 2.5 FTE to this work and will need to do so for the remainder of the year.
- Congressional Inquiries. The Oregon Congressional delegation expressed interest in this

Page #

Names & phone of factsheet originator (8 pt font) Name & phone of person approving fact sheet Date

Oregon CZARA issue. NOAA and EPA provided a briefing to the delegation on Dec. 17, 2013.

RESOURCES

(Dollars in Millions):

| Approp. | FY 2013 Enacted | | FY 2014 Enacted | | FY 2014 Pres Bud | |
|---------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| | \$ | FTE | \$ | FTE | \$ | FTE |
| EPM | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 |
| S&T | | | | | | |
| SF | | | | | | |
| Total | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 |

ED464-000001583

FACT SHEET - Oregon and Washington CZARA Needs

QUESTION: When will EPA make final decisions on Oregon and Washington's Coastal Nonpoint Programs under the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZARA) program?

ANSWER:

Both WA and OR have made majorsignificant progress towards completing the
development of their coastal nonpoint programs. As described below there remains need
for further action in a few areas infor each state.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Non-Responsive

On December 20, 2013, EPA and NOAA jointly announced in the Federal Register our jointly issued an intent to disapprove Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program in the Federal Register. NOAA and EPA are operating under a 2010 settlement agreement obligation with Northwest Environmental Advocates to make a final decision on Oregon's Coastal Nonpoint Program by May 15, 2014. To reverse the proposed disapproval, Oregon needs to adopt more protective forestry practices, improve its management measures to address management control-sediment runoff from new development, and adopt better practices to ensure on-site disposal systems are maintained properly. EPA and NOAA are working with Oregon towards these improvements.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

BACKGROUND

Non-Responsive

• EPA and NOAA decision on the Oregon Coastal Nonpoint Program is governed by the terms of the <u>2010</u> settlement agreement. EPA and NOAA are obliged to make a final decision by May 15, 2014, or seek to renegotiate this deadline with the plaintiff. If Oregon does not make the necessary improvements to its forestry practices and the new development and onsite disposal management measures, EPA and NOAA will be obliged to disapprove Oregon's program. Disapproval means that EPA and NOAA will be required to withhold grant dollars. The total amount of funds withheld <u>by both agencies</u> could range from \$900,000 to

Page #

Names & phone of factsheet originator (8 pt font) Name & phone of person approving fact sheet Date

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Formatted: List Paragraph, Left, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Tab after: 0.5"

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

+ Indent at: 0.5"

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Left, Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

Comment [Don3]: Is this just the HQ contribution? Does this also include R10's resource

ED464-000001583 EPA-6822_038989

approximately \$1.2 million a year <u>under current federal funding levels</u>. EPA has devoted about 2.5 FTE to this work and will need to do so for the remainder of the year.

Congressional Inquiries. The Oregon Congressional delegation has expressed interest in this Oregon CZARA issue. NOAA and EPA provided a briefing to the delegation on Dec. 17, 2013.

Comment [Don4]: I'm not sure how this figure was arrived at. Is this just R10's FTE level? Does it count ORC/OGC efforts or HQ NPS Branch efforts?

RESOURCES

(Dollars in Millions):

| Approp. | FY 2013 Enacted | | FY 2014 Enacted | | FY 2014 Pres Bud | |
|---------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| | \$ | FTE | \$ | FTE | \$ | FTE |
| [EPM] | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 |
| [S&T] | | | | | | |
| [SF] | | | | | | |
| Total | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 | \$0.0M | 3.0 |

Comment [Don5]: ...? ...unfamiliar with acronym

Comment [Don6]: ...? ...unfamiliar with acronym

Comment [Don7]: ...? ...unfamiliar with

Page #

Names & phone of factsheet originator (8 pt font) Name & phone of person approving fact sheet Date